Article

Linear invariant families on the homogeneous ball of a complex Banach space

Tatsuhiro HONDA*

(Received Oct. 31, 2012)

Abstract

We study the notion of linear invariance on the unit ball of a JB*-triple X, and we obtain some connection between the norm-order of a linear invariant family and the starlikeness of order 1/2. Also, we give some result concerning the radius of univalence of some linear invariant families.

Key Words: JB*-triple, linear invariant family, norm order

1 Introduction

Recently, several interesting results, concerning the norm-order of a linear invariant family and some connections with starlikeness, convexity and other geometric properties of holomorphic mappings in \mathbb{C}^n , were obtained by Pfaltzgraff and Suffridge [23]. Also they showed a number of growth, covering and distortion results for mappings that belong to a linear invariant family on the Euclidean unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . Hamada and Kohr generalized the results in [23] to the unit ball in a complex Hilbert space in [9] and to the unit polydisc in [10]. For linear invariant families in several complex variables, see also the books [3, 4] and the references therein.

This paper is concerned with the study of linear invariance on the homogeneous ball of a complex Banach space. A complex Banach space is a JB*-triple if, and only if, its open unit ball is homogeneous. All four types of classical Cartan domains and their infinite dimensional analogues are the open unit balls of JB*-triples, and the same holds for any finite or infinite product of these domains ([13], see also [8, 15]). Thus the unit balls of JB*-triples are natural generalizations of the unit disc in \mathbb{C} and we have a setting in which a large number of bounded symmetric homogeneous domains may be studied simultaneously. We obtain some connection between the norm-order of a linear invariant family and the starlikeness of order 1/2. Also, we give some result concerning the radius of univalence of some linear invariant families.

2 Preliminaries

Let *B* be the unit ball in a complex Banach space *X*. Let *Y* be a complex Banach space. A holomorphic mapping $f: B \to Y$ is said to be locally biholomorphic if the Fréchet derivative Df(x) has a bounded inverse for each $x \in B$. A holomorphic mapping $f: B \to Y$ is said to be biholomorphic if f(B) is a domain in *Y*, f^{-1} exists and holomorphic on f(B). A biholomorphic mapping $f: B \to Y$ is said to be convex if f(B) is a convex domain. Let X^* be the dual space of *X*. For each $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$, we define

$$T(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : ||x^*|| = 1, x^*(x) = ||x||\}.$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, T(x) is nonempty. Let $f: B \to X$ be a locally biholomorphic mapping. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$. We say that *f* is a starlike mapping of order α if

$$\left|\frac{1}{\|x\|}x^*\left([Df(x)]^{-1}f(x)\right) - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\right| < \frac{1}{2\alpha}$$

for $x \in B \setminus \{0\}, x^* \in T(x)$.

Let L(X,Y) denote the set of continuous linear operators from *X* into *Y*. Let I_X be the identity in L(X,X).

^{*} Department of Architectural Engineering, Hiroshima Institute of Technology

Let $\mathcal{LS}(B)$ denote the family of locally biholomorphic mappings from *B* to *X*, normalized by f(0) = 0 and $Df(0) = I_X$.

We recall that a JB*-triple is a complex Banach space X together with a continuous mapping(called Jordan triple product)

 $X \times X \times X \to X \qquad (x, y, z) \mapsto \{x, y, z\}$

such that for all elements in *X* the following conditions $(J_1)-(J_4)$ hold, where for every $x, y \in X$, the operator $x \Box y$ on *X* is defined by $z \mapsto \{x, y, z\}$:

- (J₁) {*x*, *y*, *z*} is symmetric bilinear in the outer variable *x*, *z* and conjugate linear in the inner variable *y*,
- $(J_2) \{a, b, \{x, y, z\}\}$
 - $= \{\{a,b,x\}, y,z\} \{x,\{b,a,y\},z\} + \{x,y,\{a,b,z\}\},$ (Jordan triple identity)
- (J_3) $x \square x \in L(X, X)$ is a hermitian operator with spectrum ≥ 0 ,

 $(J_4) || \{x, x, x\} || = ||x||^3.$

It is known [16, p.523] that in this definition condition (J_4) can be replaced by $||x \Box x|| = ||x||^2$ and that

 $||x \Box y|| \le ||x|| \cdot ||y||$

holds for all $x, y \in X$. Then, we have

$$|| \{x, y, z\} || \le ||x|| \cdot ||y|| \cdot ||z||, \text{ for all } x, y, z.$$
(2.1)

Example 2.1. Let S be a locally compact topological space and let $C_0(S)$ be the Banach space of all continuous complex valued functions f on S vanishing at infinity with $|| f || = \sup |f(S)|$. Then $C_0(S)$ is a JB*-triple with $\{f,g,h\} = f\overline{g}h$.

A linear subspace $I \subset X$ is called a *subtriple* if $\{I, I, I\} \subset I$.

For every $a \in X$, let $Q_a : X \to X$ be the conjugate linear operator defined by $Q_a(x) = \{a, x, a\}$. This operator is called the quadratic representation and it satisfies the fundamental formula

 $Q_{Q_a(b)} = Q_a Q_b Q_a$

for all $a, b \in X$. For every $x, y \in X$, the Bergman operator $B(x, y) \in L(X, X)$ is defined by

$$B(x, y) = I_X - 2x\Box y + Q_x Q_y.$$

From (2.1), we have

$$|B(x, y)|| \le (1 + ||x|| \cdot ||y||)^2, \quad x, y \in X.$$
(2.2)

In case $||x \Box y|| < 1$, the spectrum of B(x, y) lies in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z-1| < 1\}$. In particular, the fractional power $B(x, y)^r \in GL(X)$ exists for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ in a natural way (cf. [16, p.517]).

Let *B* be the unit ball of a JB*-triple *X*. Then, for each $a \in B$, the Möbius transformation g_a defined by

$$g_a(x) = a + B(a,a)^{1/2} (I_X + x \Box a)^{-1} x, \qquad (2.3)$$

is a biholomorphic mapping of *B* onto itself with $g_a(0) = a$, $g_a(-a) = 0$ and $g_{-a} = g_a^{-1}$.

Proposition 2.2. Let g_a be as above. Then for any $a \in B$, g_a extends biholomorphically to a neighborhood of \overline{B} and we have

$$[Dg_a(0)]^{-1}D^2g_a(0)(x,y) = -2\{x,a,y\},$$
(2.4)

$$Dg_a(0) \parallel \le 1,$$
 (2.5)

$$\|[Dg_a(0)]^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{1 - \|a\|^2},$$
(2.6)

$$Dg_{\zeta a}(0) = Dg_a(0), \quad |\zeta| = 1,$$
 (2.7)

$$g_a(a) = \frac{2}{1 + ||a||^2} a,$$
(2.8)

$$g_a(x) = x + a - \{x, a, x\} + O(||a||^2),$$
(2.9)

$$[Dg_a(0)]^{-1} = I_X + O(||a||^2).$$
(2.10)

Moreover, we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - \|g_{-z}(w)\|^{2}} \leq \frac{(1 + \|w\| \cdot \|z\|)^{2}}{(1 - \|w\|^{2})(1 - \|z\|^{2})},$$

$$z, w \in B.$$
(2.11)

Proof. Since $||x\square a|| \le ||x|| \cdot ||a||$, g_a and $g_a^{-1} = g_{-a}$ extend holomorphically to ||x|| < 1/||a||. Then, g_a extends biholomorphically to a neighborhood of \overline{B} . Since

$$g_a(x) = a + B(a,a)^{1/2} [x - (x \Box a)x] + O(||x||^3)$$

= $a + B(a,a)^{1/2} [x - \{x, a, x\}] + O(||x||^3),$

we have

$$Dg_{a}(x)(y) = B(a,a)^{1/2}[y - \{y,a,x\} - \{x,a,y\}] + O(||x||^{2})$$

and

$$\begin{split} D^2g_a(0)(y,z) &= B(a,a)^{1/2}[-\{y,a,z\}-\{z,a,y\}] \\ &= -2B(a,a)^{1/2}\{y,a,z\}. \end{split}$$

Since $Dg_a(0) = B(a,a)^{1/2}$, we obtain (2.4). By [17, Corollary 3.6], we obtain (2.5) and (2.6). Since

$$B(\zeta a, \zeta a) = B(a, a), \quad |\zeta| = 1,$$

we obtain (2.7). Since the JB*-subtriple of *X* generated by *a*, denoted by X_a , is isometrically isomorphic to $C_0(S)$ for some locally compact subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ ([16]), it is easy to see that in X_a and hence in *X*, we have

$$g_a(a) = \frac{2}{1+||a||^2}a.$$

Thus, we obtain (2.8). Since $B(a,a)^{1/2} = I_X + O(||a||^2)$, we have (2.10) and

$$g_a(x) = a + B(a,a)^{1/2} [x - \{x,a,x\}] + O(||a||^2)$$
$$= a + x - \{x,a,x\} + O(||a||^2).$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{1-\|g_{-z}(w)\|^2} = \|B(w,w)^{-1/2}B(w,z)B(z,z)^{-1/2}\|,$$

 $z, w \in B$ by [19, Proposition 3.1], we obtain (2.11) from (2.2) and (2.6).

 $x \in X$ is called *regular* if $x \Box x \in GL(X)$ and $x \in X$ is called a *tripotent* if $\{x, x, x\} = x$. A point $u \in \overline{B}$ is said to be a *real (resp. complex) extreme point of* \overline{B} if the only $x \in X$ satisfying $||u + \lambda x|| \le 1$ for all real (resp. complex) numbers λ with $|\lambda| \le 1$ is x = 0. We call $u \in \overline{B}$ holomorphically extreme in \overline{B} if for every open neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and every holomorphic mapping $f: U \to X$ the conditions f(0) = u and $f(U) \subset \overline{B}$ imply that f'(0) = 0. $u \in \partial B$ is called a *simple boundary point of* B if $u + ty \in \partial B$, $y \in X$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, |t| < 1 always implies y = 0. The following result is obtained in Kaup and Upmeier [18, Proposition 3.5].

Proposition 2.3. Let B be the unit ball of a JB^* -triple X and $u \in X$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) u is a regular tripotent in X;
- (ii) u is holomorphically extreme in \overline{B} ;
- (iii) u is a complex extreme point of \overline{B} ;
- (iv) u is a simple boundary point of B.

Let \mathcal{E} be the set of all complex extreme points of \overline{B} . As a corollary of the above proposition, we obtain the following maximum principle for holomorphic mappings on the unit ball of a JB*-triple. When *B* is the unit ball of a J*-algebra, see Harris [13, Theorem 9]. By the Krein-Milman theorem (see e.g. [5, Chapter 4]),

it is known that if \overline{B} is a compact subset of *X*, then \mathcal{E} is nonempty.

Proposition 2.4. Let *B* be the unit ball of a JB^* -triple *X* and let \mathcal{E} denote the set of all complex extreme points of \overline{B} . If $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$, then

- (i) Let $g_a \in Aut(B)$ given in (2.3). Then $g_a(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}$ for any $a \in B$;
- (ii) Let Y be a complex Banach space. Let $f : B \to Y$ be a holomorphic mapping with a continuous and bounded extension to $B \cup \mathcal{E}$. Then

$$||f(x)|| \le \sup\{||f(u)|| : u \in \mathcal{E}\}, \quad x \in B.$$

Moreover, f is completely determined by its value on \mathcal{E} . *Proof.* (i) Since $g_a^{-1} = g_{-a}$, it suffices to show that $g_a(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{E}$ for any $a \in B$. Let $v = g_a(u)$, where $u \in \mathcal{E}$. Assume that $v + \lambda x \in \overline{B}$ for $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Let

$$h(\lambda) = g_a^{-1}(v + \lambda x), \quad \lambda \in U.$$

Then *h* is holomorphic on *U* by Proposition 2.2, $h(0) = g_a^{-1}(v) = u$ and $h(U) \subset \overline{B}$. Since *u* is a holomorphic extreme point by Proposition 2.3, we must have h'(0) = 0. This implies that $Dg_a^{-1}(v)(x) = 0$. Since g_a^{-1} extends biholomorphically to a neighborhood of \overline{B} , we obtain x = 0. Thus, $v \in \mathcal{E}$.

(ii) By the mean value property for vector valued holomorphic functions, we obtain

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(g_x(e^{i\theta}u)) d\theta,$$

where $u \in \mathcal{E}$. Since $g_x(e^{i\theta}u) \in \mathcal{E}$ for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ by (i), we obtain (ii).

3 Linear invariance in X

We define the notion of linear invariant families and the norm-order in the unit ball *B* of a complex Banach space *X*.

Definition 3.1. Let B be the unit ball of a complex Banach space X. Then a family \mathcal{F} is called a linearinvariant family if:

(i) $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{LS}(B)$,

and

(*ii*) $\Lambda_{\phi}(f) \in \mathcal{F}$, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}B$,

where AutB denotes the set of biholomorphic automorphisms of B, and $\Lambda_{\phi}(f)$ is the Koebe-transform

$$\Lambda_{\phi}(f)(x) = [D\phi(0)]^{-1} [Df(\phi(0))]^{-1} (f(\phi(x)) - f(\phi(0))),$$
(3.1)

for all $x \in B$.

Note that the Koebe transform has the group property $\Lambda_{\psi} \circ \Lambda_{\phi} = \Lambda_{\phi \circ \psi}$.

If \mathcal{F} is a linear invariant family, we define two types of *norm-order* of \mathcal{F} (cf.[23]), given by

$$\| ord \|_{X,1} \mathcal{F} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{\|y\|=1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \| D^2 f(0)(y,\cdot) \| \right\}$$

and

$$\| ord \|_{X,2} \mathcal{F} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{\|y\|=1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \| D^2 f(0)(y,y) \| \right\}$$

It is clear that $|| ord ||_{X,1} \mathcal{F} \ge || ord ||_{X,2} \mathcal{F}$. Since

$$D^{2}f(0)(y,z) = \frac{1}{2} \{ D^{2}f(0)(y+z,y+z) - D^{2}f(0)(y,y) - D^{2}f(0)(z,z) \},\$$

we obtain $|| ord ||_{X,1} \mathcal{F} \leq 3 || ord ||_{X,2} \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, if *X* is a Hilbert space, then $|| ord ||_{X,1} \mathcal{F} = || ord ||_{X,2} \mathcal{F}$ by Hörmander [14, Theorem 4].

We now give some examples of linear invariant families in the unit ball *B* of a complex Banach space *X*. **Example 3.2.** *S*(*B*), the set of all biholomorphic mappings in $\mathcal{LS}(B)$. If *X* is a complex Hilbert space of dimension *n*, where n > 1, the linear invariant family *S*(*B*) does not have finite norm order (see [23], cf. [1]). **Example 3.3.** $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(B)$, the union of all linear invariant families contained in $\mathcal{LS}(B)$ with norm-order not greater than α . This is a generalization of the universal linear invariant families $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}(\Delta)$ considered in [24]. **Example 3.4.** If *G* is a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{LS}(B)$, then the linear invariant family generated by *G* is the family

 $\Lambda[\mathcal{G}] = \{\Lambda_{\phi}(g) : g \in \mathcal{G}, \phi \in \operatorname{Aut}B\}.$

The linear invariance is a consequence of the group property of the Koebe transform. Obviously, $\Lambda[\mathcal{G}] = \mathcal{G}$ if and only if \mathcal{G} is a linear-invariant family. In the case of the unit Euclidean ball and the unit polydisc in \mathbb{C}^n , this example provided a useful technique for generating many interesting mappings (see [20, 21, 22]). For example, we can use a single mapping *f* from $\mathcal{LS}(B)$ to generate the linear invariant family $\Lambda[\{f\}]$. The family $\Lambda[\{i\}]$, generated by the identity mapping i(x) = x, consists of all the Koebe transforms of i(x). **Example 3.5.** $\mathcal{K}(B)$, the set of convex mapping in $\mathcal{LS}(B)$.

As in the proof of [23, Theorem 5.1], we obtain

the following result. We will see later that $\| \text{ord } \|_{X,2} \mathcal{K}(B) = 1$, if *B* is the unit ball of a JB*-triple. We remark that if $X = \ell^1$ is the complex Banach space of summable complex sequences, then $\| \text{ord } \|_{X,2} \mathcal{K}(B) = 0$, since the only mapping $f \in \mathcal{K}(B)$ is the identity mapping [26, Corollary 1].

Proposition 3.6. Let B be the unit ball of a complex Banach space X and let $\mathcal{K}(B)$ be the set of normalized convex mappings on B. Then $|| \text{ ord } ||_{X,2} \mathcal{K}(B) \leq 1$.

When *B* is the unit ball of a JB^{*}-triple *X*, we have the following first order approximation formula for the Koebe transform of *f*.

Lemma 3.7. Let $g_a \in Aut(B)$ given in (2.3). If $f \in \mathcal{LS}(B)$, then

$$[Dg_a(0)]^{-1}[Df(g_a(0))]^{-1}(f(g_a(x)) - f(g_a(0)))$$

= $f(x) + Df(x)(a - \{x, a, x\}) - a - D^2f(0)(a, f(x))$
+ $O(||a||^2), a \to 0.$

Proof. Since

$$f(x) = x + \frac{1}{2}D^2 f(0)(x,x) + \frac{1}{6}D^3 f(0)(x,x,x) + \cdots,$$

we have

$$f(g_a(0)) = a + O(||a||^2), \qquad (3.2)$$

and

$$[Df(g_a(0))]^{-1} = I_X - D^2 f(0)(a, \cdot) + O(||a||^2).$$
(3.3)

Since

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + Df(x)y + O(||y||^2),$$

we obtain from (2.9) that

$$f(g_a(x)) = f(x + a - \{x, a, x\} + O(||a||^2))$$

= $f(x) + Df(x)(a - \{x, a, x\}) + O(||a||^2).$
(3.4)

From (2.10) and (3.3), we have

$$[Dg_{a}(0)]^{-1}[Df(g_{a}(0))]^{-1}$$

= $I_{X} - D^{2}f(0)(a, \cdot) + O(||a||^{2}).$ (3.5)

Then from (3.2), (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{split} & [Dg_a(0)]^{-1} [Df(g_a(0))]^{-1} (f(g_a(x)) - f(g_a(0))) \\ &= (I_X - D^2 f(0) (a, \cdot)) [f(x) + Df(x) (a - \{x, a, x\}) - a] \\ &+ O(||a||^2) \\ &= f(x) + Df(x) (a - \{x, a, x\}) - a - D^2 f(0) (a, f(x)) \\ &+ O(||a||^2). \end{split}$$

 \square

This completes the proof.

The following useful result is a natural extension to JB*-triples of [24, Lemma 1.2] (cf. [9, 10, 21]).

Lemma 3.8. Let \mathcal{F} be a linear-invariant family on the unit ball B of a JB^* -triple X with $|| \text{ ord } ||_{X,1} \mathcal{F} = \alpha$ and $|| \text{ ord } ||_{X,2} \mathcal{F} = \beta$. Then

$$\alpha = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sup \left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{2} \Phi(f, x, y, z) - \{y, x, z\} \right\| : \\ \| x \| < 1, \| y \| = \| z \| = 1 \right\},$$
(3.6)

and

$$\beta = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sup \left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{2} \Phi(f, x, y, y) - \{y, x, y\} \right\| : \\ \| x \| < 1, \| y \| = 1 \right\},$$
(3.7)

where

$$\Phi(f, x, y, z) = [Dg_x(0)]^{-1} [Df(x)]^{-1} D^2 f(x) (Dg_x(0)y, Dg_x(0)z).$$

Proof. It is clear that

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sup \left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{2} \Phi(f, x, y, z) - \{y, x, z\} \right\| : \\ \| x \| < 1, \| y \| = \| z \| = 1 \right\} \ge \alpha.$$

On the other hand, let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\phi = g_x$ where $x \in B$. It is clear that $F \in \mathcal{F}$, where $F(w) = \Lambda_{\phi}(f)(w)$, $w \in B$. Therefore, we have

$$\left\|\frac{1}{2}D^{2}F(0)(y,z)\right\| \leq \alpha, \quad y,z \in X, \|y\| = \|z\| = 1.$$
(3.8)

If we differentiate twice the mapping $F = \Lambda_{\phi}(f)$, given by (3.1), we obtain that

$$DF(w) = [D\phi(0)]^{-1} [Df(\phi(0))]^{-1} Df(\phi(w)) D\phi(w)]$$

 $w \in B$, and

$$D^{2}F(w)(y,z) = [D\phi(0)]^{-1}[Df(\phi(0))]^{-1}\{D^{2}f(\phi(w))(D\phi(w)y, D\phi(w)z) + Df(\phi(w))D^{2}\phi(w)(y,z)\}, \quad y,z \in X.$$

Evaluating at w = 0, we obtain that

 $D^{2}F(0)(y,z) = \Phi(f,x,y,z) + [Dg_{x}(0)]^{-1}D^{2}g_{x}(0)(y,z).$

Hence, from (2.4) and this equality, we have

$$D^{2}F(0)(y,z) = \Phi(f,x,y,z) - 2\{y,x,z\}.$$

Finally, from (3.8) and the last relation, one concludes that

$$\left\|\frac{1}{2}\Phi(f,x,y,z)-\{y,x,z\}\right\|\leq\alpha,$$

for all $x \in B$ and $y, z \in X$, ||y|| = ||z|| = 1. Thus, we obtain (3.6). Putting z = y in the above argument, we obtain (3.7). This completes the proof.

Note that in the case of one complex variable, the relations (3.6) and (3.7) are equivalent to

$$\alpha = \beta = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sup_{|b| < 1} \left| \frac{1}{2} (1 - |b|^2) \frac{f''(b)}{f'(b)} - \overline{b} \right|.$$

(compare with [24, Lemma 1.2]).

Pfaltzgraff and Suffridge [23, Theorem 3.1] proved recently that if \mathcal{M} is a linear invariant family on the Euclidean unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , then $|| \text{ ord } || \mathcal{M} \ge 1$. Hamada and Kohr obtained the extension of this result to the unit ball of a complex Hilbert space in [9, Theorem 3.2] and to the unit polydisc in [10, Theorem 3.2]. In the following we obtain the extension of this result to the unit ball of a JB^{*}-triple.

Theorem 3.9. Let \mathcal{F} be a linear invariant family on the unit ball *B* of a *JB**-triple *X*. Then $|| \text{ ord } ||_{X,2} \mathcal{F} \ge 1$. *Proof.* We will use an argument similar to that in the proof of [23, Theorem 3.1]. Let $\beta = || \text{ ord } ||_{X,2} \mathcal{F}$ and let $x \in B \setminus \{0\}$ be fixed. Putting $y = \frac{x}{||x||}$, $x \in B \setminus \{0\}$, in (3.7), we obtain that

$$\beta \ge \left\| \frac{1}{2 \|x\|^2} \Phi(f, x, x, x) - \frac{1}{\|x\|^2} \{x, x, x\} \right\|,$$

where

$$\Phi(f, x, x, x) = [Dg_x(0)]^{-1} [Df(x)]^{-1} D^2 f(x) (Dg_x(0)x, Dg_x(0)x).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\beta \ge \left| \frac{1}{2 \|x\|^2} z^* \left(\Phi(f, x, x, x) - 2\{x, x, x\} \right) \right|, \tag{3.9}$$

where $z^* \in T(\{x, x, x\})$. Further, let

-157-

$$h(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta}{2 ||x||^2} z^* (\Psi(f,\zeta,x)), |\zeta| < \frac{1}{||x||}$$

where

$$\Psi(f,\zeta,x) = [Dg_x(0)]^{-1} [Df(\zeta x)]^{-1} D^2 f(\zeta x) (Dg_x(0)x, Dg_x(0)x)$$

Then *h* is a holomorphic function on $|\zeta| < 1/||x||$ and by (2.7)

$$\Phi(f,\zeta x,\zeta x,\zeta x) = \zeta^2 \Psi(f,\zeta,x), \quad |\zeta| = 1.$$

Since h(0) = 0, for every *r* with r < 1/||x||, there exists a value of ζ with $|\zeta| = r$ such that $\operatorname{Re}h(\zeta) \le 0$.

We now replace x by ζx and z^* by $\frac{\zeta}{|\zeta|}z^*$ in (3.9),

where $|\zeta| = 1$ so that $\operatorname{Re}h(\zeta) \leq 0$. Then we deduce that

$$\beta \ge \left| h(\zeta) - \frac{||x||^3}{||x||^2} \right| \ge -\Re h(\zeta) + ||x|| \ge ||x||,$$

because we have used the fact that $\operatorname{Re}h(\zeta) \leq 0$. Hence, $\beta \geq ||x||$ for all $x \in B$. Therefore $|| \operatorname{ord} ||_{X,2} \mathcal{F} \geq 1$. This completes the proof.

As a corollary of Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.9, we obtain the following result (cf. [23, Theorem 5.1]). **Corollary 3.10.** Let *B* be the unit ball of a *JB**-triple *X* and let $\mathcal{K}(B)$ be the set of normalized convex mappings on *B*. Then $|| \text{ ord } ||_{X,2} \mathcal{K}(B) = 1$.

Next, we give a result on a lower bound for starlikeness. Hamada and Kohr [11] (cf. [12]) proved the following sufficient condition for starlikeness on the unit ball of a complex Banach space.

Proposition 3.11. Let f be a locally biholomorphic mapping on the unit ball B of a complex Banach space with f(0) = 0. If

 $\|[Df(x)]^{-1}D^2f(x)(x,\cdot)\| \le 1, x \in B,$

then f is a starlike mapping of order 1/2 on B.

Using the above sufficient condition, we will prove the following theorem (cf. [23, Theorems 5.5 and 5.7]). **Theorem 3.12.** Let \mathcal{F} be a linear-invariant family on the unit ball B of a JB*-triple X with $|| \text{ ord } ||_{X,1} \mathcal{F} = \alpha < \infty$. If $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then f is a starlike mapping of order 1/2 on B_{r_s} , where $r_s \in (0,1)$ is the unique solution of the equation

$$\frac{2r^2 + 2\alpha r}{(1 - r^2)^2} = 1.$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.8,

$$\|[Df(x)]^{-1}D^{2}f(x)(Dg_{x}(0)y,Dg_{x}(0)z)\| \le 2\|Dg_{x}(0)\{y,x,z\}\|+2\alpha\|Dg_{x}(0)\|\cdot\|y\|\cdot\|z\|.$$

Also, we have $||Dg_x(0)|| \le 1$ and $||[Dg_x(0)]^{-1}|| \le 1/(1-||x||^2)$ from (2.5) and (2.6). Therefore, putting $y = [Dg_x(0)]^{-1}x$ and $z = [Dg_x(0)]^{-1}w$ with ||w|| = 1 and using (2.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} &\|[Df(x)]^{-1}D^{2}f(x)(x,w)\|\\ &\leq 2\|Dg_{x}(0)\|\cdot\|[Dg_{x}(0)]^{-1}x\|\cdot\|x\|\cdot\|[Dg_{x}(0)]^{-1}w\|\\ &+2\alpha\|Dg_{x}(0)\|\cdot\|[Dg_{x}(0)]^{-1}x\|\cdot\|[Dg_{x}(0)]^{-1}w\|\\ &\leq \frac{2r^{2}+2\alpha r}{(1-r^{2})^{2}}, \end{split}$$

where r = ||x||. From Proposition 3.11, *f* is a starlike mapping of order 1/2 on B_{r_s} . This completes the proof.

Before to give the following result, we have to introduce some notations, as follows. This result relates the radius of univalence of a linear invariant family with the radius of nonvanishing of this family.

Let

$$r_0 = r_0(\mathcal{F}) = \sup \{ r > 0 : f(x) \neq 0, 0 < ||x|| < r, f \in \mathcal{F} \}$$

and let $r_1 = r_1(\mathcal{F})$ denote the radius of univalence of the linear invariant family *F*, i.e.

 $r_1 = \sup\{r > 0 : f \text{ is univalent on } B_r, f \in \mathcal{F}\}.$

Then, we obtain the following result. This result is a generalization of [24, Lemma 2.4], [23, Theorem 5.11], [9, Theorem 3.4] and [10, Theorem 3.5] to the unit ball of a JB*-triple. We remark that if $|| \text{ ord } ||_{X,1} \mathcal{F} = \alpha < \infty$, then $r_0 > 0$ from Theorem 3.12.

Theorem 3.13. Let \mathcal{F} be a linear invariant family on the unit ball B of a JB^* -triple X. Assume that $r_0(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Then

$$r_1 = \frac{r_0}{1 + \sqrt{1 - r_0^2}}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in F$ and $r \le \frac{r_0}{1 + \sqrt{1 - r_0^2}}$. Also, let $y, z \in B_r$ with $y \ne z$. Let

$$F(w;x) = [Dg_x(0)]^{-1} [Df(g_x(0))]^{-1} (f(g_x(w)) - f(g_x(0))),$$
(3.10)

 $w, x \in B$, where g_x is the biholomorphic automorphism

of *B*, given in (2.3). Clearly, $F(\cdot; x) \in \mathcal{F}$, for all $x \in B$, and if we set x = y and $w = g_y^{-1}(z)$ in (3.10), we obtain that

$$F\left(g_{y}^{-1}(z);y\right) = [Dg_{y}(0)]^{-1}[Df(y)]^{-1}(f(z) - f(y)).$$
(3.11)

From (2.11), we obtain

$$1 - \left\| g_{-y}(z) \right\|^2 \ge \frac{(1 - \left\| y \right\|^2) (1 - \left\| z \right\|^2)}{(1 + \left\| y \right\| \cdot \left\| z \right\|)^2} > \frac{(1 - r^2)^2}{(1 + r^2)^2}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|g_{y}^{-1}(z)\| = \|g_{-y}(z)\| < \frac{2r}{1+r^{2}} \le r_{0}.$$

Since $g_y^{-1}(z) \neq 0$ for $y \neq z$, we have $F(g_y^{-1}(z); y) \neq 0$. Then, we conclude from (3.11) that $f(y) \neq f(z)$, that means *f* is univalent on B_r . Therefore, $r_1 \ge \frac{r_0}{1 + \sqrt{1 - r_0^2}}$. Also, since $r_0 > 0$, we deduce that $r_1 > 0$.

In the second part of this proof, we will show that

$$r_1 \le \frac{r_0}{1 + \sqrt{1 - r_0^2}}$$
. To this end, let $x \in B$ with $0 < ||x|| < \infty$

 $\frac{2r_1}{1+r_1^2}$. Then there exists $a \in B$ such that $x = g_a(a)$ and

 $0 < ||a|| < r_1$ by (2.8). After short computations, we obtain the following relations

$$F(a;a) = [Dg_a(0)]^{-1} [Df(a)]^{-1} (f(x) - f(a))$$

and

$$F(-a;a) = -[Dg_a(0)]^{-1}[Df(a)]^{-1}f(a),$$

where F is defined by (3.10). Therefore, we have

$$f(x) = Df(a)Dg_a(0)(F(a;a) - F(-a;a))$$

Since $0 < ||a|| < r_1$, $F(a;a) \neq F(-a;a)$. Hence, $f(x) \neq 0$. This implies that $r_0 \ge \frac{2r_1}{1+r_1^2}$. This is equivalent to $r_1 \le \frac{r_0}{1+\sqrt{1-r_0^2}}$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.14. Let \mathcal{F} be a linear invariant family on the unit ball B of a JB^* -triple X. Assume that $r_0(\mathcal{F}) = 1$. Then \mathcal{F} is a family of normalized univalent mappings on B.

References

[1] R.W. Barnard, C.H. FitzGerald, S. Gong, A distor-

tion theorem for biholomorphic mappings in \mathbb{C}^2 , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 344 (1994) 907–924.

- [2] C-H. Chu, H. Hamada, T. Honda, G. Kohr, Distortion theorems for convex mappings on homogeneous balls, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 369 (2010) 437– 442.
- [3] S. Gong, Convex and Starlike Mappings in Several Complex Variables, Mathematics and its Applications (China Series), 435. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht; Science Press, Beijing, 1998.
- [4] I. Graham, G. Kohr, Geometric function theory in one and higher dimensions, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 255. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2003.
- [5] D.J. Hallenbeck, T.H. MacGregor, Linear Problems and Convexity Techniques in Geometric Function Theory, Pitman, Boston, 1984.
- [6] H. Hamada, T. Honda, Sharp growth theorems and coefficient bounds for starlike mappings in several complex variables, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B 29 (2008) 353–368.
- [7] H. Hamada, T. Honda, G. Kohr, Growth theorems and coefficient bounds for univalent holomorphic mappings which have parametric representation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 317 (2006) 302–319.
- [8] H. Hamada, T. Honda, G. Kohr, Bohr's theorem for holomorphic mappings with values in homogeneous balls, Israel J. Math. 173 (2009) 177–187.
- [9] H. Hamada, G. Kohr, Linear invariance of locally biholomorphic mappings in Hilbert spaces, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 47 (2002) 277–289.
- [10] H. Hamada, G. Kohr, Linear invariant families on the unit polydisc, Mathematica (Cluj) 44(67), No.2 (2002) 153–170.
- [11] H. Hamada, G. Kohr, Simple criterions for strongly starlikeness and starlikeness of certain order, Math. Nachr. 254–255 (2003) 165–171.
- [12] H. Hamada, G. Kohr, P. Liczberski, Starlike mappings of order α on the unit ball in complex Banach spaces, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 36(56) (2001) 39–48.
- [13] L.A. Harris, Bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional spaces, in: T.L. Hayden, T.J. Suffridge (Eds.), Proceedings on Infinite Dimensional Holomorphy, Internat. Conf., Univ. Kentucky, Lexington, KY, 1973, in: Lecture

Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 364, Springer, Berlin, 1974, pp. 13–40.

- [14] L. Hörmander, On a Theorem of Grace, Math. Scand. 2 (1954) 55–64.
- [15] L.K. Hua, Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1963.
- [16] W. Kaup, A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in Banach spaces, Math. Z. 183 (1983) 503–529.
- [17] W. Kaup, Hermitian Jordan triple systems and the automorphisms of bounded symmetric domains, Non-associative algebra and its applications (Oviedo, 1993), 204–214, Math. Appl., 303, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1994.
- [18] W. Kaup, H. Upmeier, Jordan algebras and symmetric Siegel domains in complex Banach spaces, Math. Z. 157 (1977) 179–200.
- [19] P. Mellon, Holomorphic invariance on bounded symmetric domains, J. Reine Angew. Math. 523

(2000) 199–223.

- [20] J.A. Pfaltzgraff, Distortion of locally biholomorphic maps of the *n*-ball, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 33 (1997) 239–253.
- [21] J.A. Pfaltzgraff, T.J. Suffridge, Linear invariance, order and convex maps in Cⁿ, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 40 (1999) 35–50.
- [22] J.A. Pfaltzgraff, T.J. Suffridge, An extension theorem and linear invariant families generated by starlike maps, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sk lodowska Sect. A 53 (1999) 193–207.
- [23] J.A. Pfaltzgraff, T.J. Suffridge, Norm order and geometric properties of holomorphic mappings in Cⁿ, J. Anal. Math. 82 (2000) 285–313.
- [24] C. Pommerenke, Linear-invariante familien analytischer funktionen I, Math. Ann. 155 (1964) 108– 154.
- [25] C. Pommerenke, Linear-invariante familien analytischer funktionen II, Math. Ann. 156 (1964) 226– 262.
- [26] T.J. Suffridge, Starlike and convex maps in Banach spaces, Pacific J. Math. 46 (1973), 575–589.